



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

REASEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,302	12/12/2001	Matthias Stefan Bierbrauer	DE920000113US1	5653
25259 75	590 10/05/2004		EXAM	INER
IBM CORPORATION			SHAH, SANJIV	
3039 CORNWALLIS RD. DEPT. T81 / B503, PO BOX 12195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2176

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•					
Office Action Summany	10/015,302	BIERBRAUER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sanjiv D. Shah	2176			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI, a cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	ugust 2004.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.	*			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under to	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers	· ·	·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		v the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·	, ,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	. '				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 IISC & 1	119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	i phonty under 35 0.3.0. g	119(a)-(d) 61 (1).			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received				
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document		nlication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		-			
application from the International Bureau	•	ossisse in and Hallorial Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	eceived.			
Attachment(s)	. 🗖				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sui Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Beyda et al. (Patent # 6,505,237).

As per claims 1-8 Beyda teaches the following claimed features:

- storing a copy of an original document from the document processing system in the repository (col.4, lines 15-43; "The email message is first transmitted to a person who accesses email via the local router/server 12", "email messages, including any attached files, that have been received and stored at the local router/server 12");
- stripping content from the original document in the document processing system to form a stub document, the stub document containing at least information to enable automatic retrieval of the stored document form the repository when the stub document is selected by a user (col.4, line 63, "the client devices 14, 16, and 18 may be configured to download only the email message and not the attached file"); and

Art Unit: 2176

 maintaining the stub document in the document processing system (since the stub documents are stored in the client devices 14, 16, and 18);

- wherein the stripping preserve a unique document identifier in the stub document to keep a link to the stored document valid, and retrieving the stored document from the repository when a user attempts to open the stub document (col.5, line 44, "Other attachments are downloaded only upon command by the receiving party", since the user must first open the stub document to retrieve the attached file.).

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 8/16/2004 have been fully considered and accordingly applicant's arguments regarding 112 rejection was persuasive and therefore the 112 rejection is withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's arguments regarding 102 rejection is also fully considered but are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that stripping contents from original document is not shown by cited reference and further argues that this feature is different from the cited prior art.

Examiner disagrees. The broadest reasonable interpretation of stripping contents from original document to form a stub document is that partial data is present in stub document. Beyda teaches downloading partial document to form a stub document.

Therefore Beyda's partial downloading is interpreted equivalent to stub document as

Art Unit: 2176

claimed. It is common practice to select a document and retrieving. Therefore applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

Applicant further argues document processing system is not shown by prior art.

Examiner disagrees. Applicant does not claim any specific document processing system structure. So broadest reasonable interpretation is that any processor processing any document is considered document processing system. Therefore as recited in the rejection, Beyda does teach document processing system. Therefore applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanjiv D. Shah whose telephone number is (703) 305-8355. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30. After October 20, Examiner Shah can be reached at (571) 272-4098.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H Feild can be reached on (703) 305-9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2176

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sanjiv D. Shah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2176

S. Shah